Designing a Christian Political Leadership Framework for Political Office Holders in Nigeria: Integrating Gifts, Competencies, Characteristics, and Styles

Oladele Olubukola Olabode, PhD, Darlington Amadi, Adeola Akanbi, Akindele Olusegun Michael, Peter Olusegun Oyebanji, Lade Taiwo Jacob, Aguocha Jessie, Oyedemi Timothy Taiwo
Department of Administration and Leadership,
Nigerian Baptist Theological Seminary, Ogbomoso
8030559740;

https://doi.org/10.56201/jpslr.vol.12.no11.2026.pg38.53

Abstract
Political leadership in Nigeria requires more than electoral legitimacy, technical administration, or public profession of religious identity. It demands the integration of moral purpose, public competence, institutional accountability, and the ability to lead within a religiously and culturally plural democracy. This paper develops a Christian political leadership framework for political office holders in Nigeria through an integrative review of biblical-theological resources, leadership theories, Nigerian scholarship, and relevant constitutional and institutional materials. The review examines four interrelated domains: gifts and vocation, competencies, character, and leadership styles. Transformational, servant, ethical, and situational leadership perspectives provide complementary analytical resources, while Christian theological commitments and constitutional-democratic principles establish normative boundaries. Nigerian studies on Christian political participation, political apathy, corruption, violence, and women's participation show that religious commitment alone does not resolve the challenges of political engagement or governance. The paper proposes a four-domain framework in which gifts and vocation provide direction, competencies enable effective public action, character governs the use of authority, and adaptive leadership styles shape context-sensitive practice. These domains are translated into public value through lawful, transparent, inclusive, and accountable processes. The paper contributes a conceptual model for leadership formation and assessment, particularly for Christian leaders and institutions connected with the Nigerian Baptist Convention. Since the framework has not been empirically validated, further research is recommended to test its relevance across levels of government and diverse Nigerian settings.

Keywords: *Christian political leadership; leadership gifts; public competencies; ethical character; leadership styles.*

Introduction

Political leadership is one of the most consequential forms of public responsibility because it determines how collective resources are allocated, how public institutions are directed, how conflicts are managed, and whose interests receive protection. In a democratic society, political office is not private property or a spiritual reward. It is a public trust exercised under law and subject to the judgement of citizens and institutions. For Christian political office holders, this

responsibility carries an additional moral and theological dimension: public authority should be exercised as service, stewardship, justice, and responsible care for neighbours. However, Christian identity does not automatically produce competent or ethical governance. A person may be active in church and still lack the judgement, policy knowledge, integrity, or courage required for public office. Nigeria provides a demanding context for this discussion. Its political system operates within a large, diverse federation shaped by ethnic, religious, regional, and socio-economic differences. The World Bank's country overview continues to identify insecurity, poverty, employment pressures, and development challenges as important constraints on national progress (World Bank, 2026).

The International Monetary Fund (2024) also discusses governance vulnerabilities and the importance of stronger anti-corruption and rule-of-law measures. Such conditions do not mean that every public institution or office holder performs poorly; rather, they show why political leadership must be assessed by institutional conduct and public outcomes, not by claims of personal virtue alone. The Christian contribution to politics is contested. Some Christians understand politics as morally compromised and therefore avoid partisan involvement. Others regard political participation as a means of pursuing justice, protecting human dignity, and contributing to the common good. Nigerian studies document this tension. Chioke (2023) argues that Christian civic responsibilities are sometimes weakened by misconceptions about biblical teaching and by political corruption, godfatherism, and failures of public institutions. Okoh and Majimre (2024) discuss Christian participation in the Fourth Republic and identify the need for awareness and mentorship. Ngwoke (2025) analyses how corruption, political violence, negative perceptions of politics, belief systems, and inadequate political education discourage Christian participation. These studies establish that participation is not simply a matter of religious willingness; it is shaped by political culture, institutional conditions, and Christians' capacity to engage responsibly.

However, participation and leadership quality are related but distinct questions. Encouraging Christians to enter politics does not, by itself, explain what standards should guide their conduct once they hold office. A framework is needed to address the relationships among spiritual gifts, vocation, public-sector competence, moral character, and leadership styles. The four concepts are often discussed separately. Biblical and ecclesial discourse may emphasise calling, gifts, and character; leadership literature may focus on competencies and styles; public administration stresses institutional performance, accountability, and legality. Without integration, Christian political leadership risks becoming either devotional rhetoric without administrative substance or managerial effectiveness without a coherent moral purpose.

The research problem addressed in this paper is therefore conceptual and practical: existing theological, political, and leadership discussions provide important but fragmented resources for understanding Christian political leadership in Nigeria. There is a need for a framework that explains how gifts, competencies, character, and leadership styles should work together in exercising public authority. This is particularly relevant to the Nigerian Baptist Convention; whose constitutional provisions regulate partisan political activity by paid officers and ministers. At the same time, its wider Christian commitments include public witness and service. The Convention's constitutional rule concerning partisan activity should not be confused with a prohibition on all civic engagement by Baptist members; rather, it highlights the importance of institutional boundaries and role clarity (Nigerian Baptist Convention, n.d.).

The purpose of this paper is to develop a biblically informed, theoretically grounded, and contextually responsible framework for Christian political leadership among political office holders in Nigeria. It pursues five objectives: to clarify the four central constructs; to synthesise relevant scholarship; to assess selected leadership theories; to develop an integrated framework; and to propose mechanisms for application and evaluation. The guiding questions concern the meaning and relationships among the four constructs, the lessons from Nigerian

political and ecclesial literature, the appropriate theoretical foundations, the components of an integrated framework, and its possible application. The paper makes three delimitations. First, it concerns Christian political officeholders rather than all Christian citizens, although civic participation remains part of the broader context. Second, it addresses public office at the federal, state, and local government levels without assuming that all offices require identical technical skills. Third, it is an integrative conceptual review, not a report of interviews, surveys, or original field observations. Its claims are consequently conceptual and interpretive. The proposed framework requires empirical testing before it can be regarded as a validated model.

Conceptual Foundations of Christian Political Leadership

Christian Political Leadership as Public Stewardship

Christian political leadership may be understood as the responsible exercise of public authority by a person whose moral imagination and conduct are shaped by Christian convictions and whose decisions remain accountable to constitutional law and the whole population. This definition has two important safeguards. First, Christian conviction should shape the leader's ethical commitments, not confer superior citizenship or a right to impose religious belief on others. Second, public authority is held for the benefit of all citizens, including people of different faiths and those with no religious affiliation. In a plural democracy, the Christian office holder must translate moral commitments into public reasons, fair policies, and institutions that protect equal citizenship. The biblical idea of stewardship provides a useful foundation. In the New Testament, leadership is associated with service rather than domination (Mark 10:42–45), faithfulness rather than self-promotion (1 Corinthians 4:1–2), and the responsible use of gifts for the common good (1 Corinthians 12:4–7).

The prophetic tradition also links authority with justice and the defence of vulnerable people (Micah 6:8; Isaiah 1:17). These texts do not offer a modern administrative manual, and they should not be treated as direct substitutes for constitutional law. They establish moral orientations—service, justice, faithfulness, humility, and accountability—that must be interpreted responsibly within contemporary public institutions. A Christian understanding of public stewardship also rejects the assumption that political office is a platform for private enrichment, religious patronage, or the protection of a narrow group. Weber's (1978) analysis of authority and bureaucracy reminds us that modern public office is embedded in rules, offices, and institutional responsibilities. Christian political leadership must therefore respect the distinction between personal religious conviction and the public duties attached to an office.
The office holder is not free to use state resources as personal property or to treat citizens as clients of a religious or political network.

Gifts and Vocation The term gifts have at least two dimensions in this paper. The first is theological: spiritual gifts are understood as capacities given for service and the common good, not as proof of political entitlement. Paul's discussion in 1 Corinthians 12 places diverse gifts within one body and insists that the Spirit's manifestations are given for the common good. Romans 12:6–8 similarly associates gifts with practical forms of service, teaching, exhortation, giving, leadership, and mercy. The second dimension is vocational: individuals may possess talents, experience, moral courage, discernment, communication ability, and a sense of responsibility that orient them towards public service. These dimensions should not be collapsed. A spiritual gift is not equivalent to professional expertise in budgeting, constitutional law, public health, infrastructure, or economic policy. A person may feel called to serve but still require training and competent advisers. Likewise, technical ability does not establish moral vocation.

The framework therefore treats gifts and vocation as sources of motivation, discernment, and potential contribution, while insisting that public office requires demonstrated competence and accountability. Adesanya's (2014) study of Deborah presents a

biblical paradigm for Christian women's active participation in Nigerian governance. Its importance lies in challenging restrictive assumptions about women's public leadership and drawing attention to leadership qualities within the biblical narrative. The argument should not be used to suggest that one biblical figure settles every contemporary debate about gender, representation, or political institutions. Rather, it supports the broader proposition that Christian political vocation should be assessed by capacity, justice, and service, rather than by gender stereotypes. The practical implication is that churches and Christian leadership institutions should help people discern vocation without promising political success or endorsing candidates as divinely appointed. Mentoring, civic education, leadership formation, and exposure to public policy can help translate a sense of calling into responsible preparation. Okoh and Majimre (2024) specifically identify awareness creation and mentorship as relevant to Christian political participation. Such formation should include critical understanding of party politics, electoral law, public finance, interfaith relations, and the limits of religious authority.

Competencies for Political Office

Competence refers to the knowledge, skills, judgement, and practical capacity required to perform the responsibilities of an office. Political leadership requires more than public speaking and mobilisation. Depending on the office, relevant competencies include constitutional literacy, policy analysis, budgeting, public financial management, strategic planning, negotiation, conflict resolution, communication, digital literacy, evidence-informed decision-making, team leadership, and monitoring of public programmes. Competence is demonstrated in the quality of decisions and the ability to build institutions that can perform beyond the personal influence of the office holder.

Northouse (2021) treats leadership as a process involving influence, groups, and common goals, while Hersey et al. (2013) emphasise the relationship between leadership behaviour and the needs or readiness of those being led. Bolman and Deal (2021) show that organisations can be understood through different frames, including structural, human-resource, political, and symbolic perspectives. For political office holders, these insights point to the need for multiple forms of competence: understanding formal structures, working with people, negotiating competing interests, and interpreting the symbols and values through which communities understand public authority.

Competence also includes the ability to recognise the limits of one's knowledge. A Christian political leader should not confuse confidence with expertise or spiritual conviction with technical qualification. Responsible leadership involves appointing qualified advisers, listening to professional institutions, using credible evidence, and correcting policies when results do not support initial assumptions. This is especially important in complex policy areas such as security, health, education, taxation, and economic management. A leader's competence is partly visible in the quality of the team and institutions that the leader enables.

Akano's (2024) discussion of encounterological competence as a missiological tool for Christian participation in Nigerian politics adds a contextual theological perspective. Its relevance to the present framework is the importance of encounter, engagement, and meaningful interaction in public life. The concept can be placed alongside relational and intercultural competence: Christian office holders must be able to engage citizens across religious, ethnic, regional, and ideological differences. However, encounter competence should complement, not replace, policy and administrative expertise.

Character and Ethical Commitments

Character concerns relatively stable moral dispositions expressed through conduct, especially when leaders face pressure, temptation, conflict, and opportunities for private gain. In political

office, relevant qualities include integrity, honesty, justice, humility, courage, fairness, self-control, accountability, respect for human dignity, and willingness to accept correction. Character is not merely the public image of being religious or respectable. It is tested in decisions about public funds, appointments, procurement, conflicts of interest, political opponents, vulnerable communities, and the disclosure of mistakes.

Brown and Treviño (2006) define ethical leadership through normatively appropriate conduct and the promotion of such conduct through communication, reinforcement, and decision-making. Their analysis is useful because it moves ethics beyond private intention to observable leadership behaviour. In a meta-analysis, Hoch et al. (2018) examine whether ethical, authentic, and servant leadership explain variance beyond that explained by transformational leadership. This indicates that leadership approaches overlap but are not identical. Ethical conduct should therefore be treated as a distinct and necessary dimension rather than assumed to follow automatically from charisma or vision.

Olabode's (2025) discussion of integrity as a principle guiding contemporary leadership reputation connects honesty, transparency, ethical communication, respect, and exemplary conduct to leaders' credibility. The present paper extends this concern from reputation to public trust and institutional accountability. Reputation can be manipulated through public relations; integrity must be demonstrated through verifiable conduct. A Christian political leader should therefore welcome scrutiny, declare relevant interests, follow procurement rules, provide reasons for decisions, and accept lawful investigation. Character also has a structural dimension. It is not enough to expect one individual to be honest while operating within opaque systems that reward patronage and conceal misuse of resources. Ethical leadership requires systems that make misconduct more difficult and accountability more likely. This is consistent with the distinction between individual morality and institutional governance. The leader's responsibilities include establishing procedures, reporting channels, audit mechanisms, and a culture in which public servants can raise concerns without fear of retaliation.

Leadership Styles as Context-Responsive Practices

Leadership style refers to recurring patterns of behaviour through which a leader influences people and coordinates action. The literature identifies several styles, but no single style is sufficient for every political situation. Lewin et al. (1939) distinguished autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire patterns in experimental group settings. Their work is historically important, although political leadership in a complex democracy cannot be reduced to a three-style typology. House's (1971) path-goal theory explains how leaders may clarify pathways, remove obstacles, and support followers in pursuit of goals. Situational approaches similarly emphasise adjusting behaviour to task and context (Hersey et al., 2013).

Transformational leadership is relevant where leaders must articulate a compelling public vision, challenge established practices, and mobilise people towards change. Burns (1978) distinguished transformational leadership from transactional exchange, while Bass (1985) developed a model that emphasised idealised influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individual consideration. These ideas can support public reform, but transformational language can also conceal personalism if the leader's vision is not subject to democratic scrutiny. A political leader's vision must be tested against law, public evidence, citizen participation, and measurable outcomes.

Servant leadership offers a corrective to power-centred politics. Greenleaf (1977) placed service at the centre of legitimate leadership, while van Dierendonck (2011) synthesised key dimensions, including empowerment, humility, authenticity, interpersonal acceptance, and stewardship. Eva et al. (2019) provide a systematic review and identify the growth and conceptual development of servant leadership research. In political office, servant leadership means that the needs of citizens, especially those with limited power, shape priorities. It does

not mean indecision, the avoidance of difficult choices, or the abandonment of institutional authority. Andersen (2018) cautions against treating servant and transformational leadership as simple alternatives or interchangeable labels. This is important for the present framework. Transformational leadership may mobilise change; servant leadership provides a service orientation; ethical leadership constrains the use of influence; and situational leadership supports adjustment to context. These perspectives should be integrated critically rather than combined as a list of attractive leadership traits.

Nigerian Political and Ecclesial Context

Participation, Political Apathy, and Christian Responsibility

Christian political participation in Nigeria is shaped by both theological interpretation and political experience. Chioke (2023) frames Christian obligations in terms of duty to God, duty to the state, and duty to neighbours, while identifying corruption, godfatherism, failures of religious leadership, government failures, and security concerns as obstacles to responsible participation. Okoh and Majimre (2024) review Christian participation from 2011 to 2023 and argue for greater engagement, awareness, and mentorship. Ngwoke (2025) identifies corruption and violence as factors that contribute to political apathy and discourage Christians from entering partisan politics. These studies should be read with attention to their methods and claims. They are valuable contextual contributions, but their conclusions do not establish that all Christians are politically apathetic or that greater Christian representation automatically produces better governance.

The term Christian participation also covers different activities: voting, advocacy, party membership, contesting elections, public administration, civil society, policy debate, and holding public office. A sound framework must distinguish these activities and avoid treating them as equivalent. A further concern is the danger of religious majoritarianism. Nigeria's religious diversity means that Christian political leadership must not be framed as a project to secure state power for Christians against other religious communities. The moral case for participation should rest on equal citizenship, justice, human dignity, public accountability, and the common good. Christian office holders should defend the rights of people beyond their religious constituency. This is not a compromise of Christian identity; it is a test of whether public service is genuinely directed towards neighbours.

Corruption, Violence, and Institutional Constraints

Corruption and political violence are not merely personal moral failures; they are also institutional and political problems. Ngwoke (2025) connects political violence and corruption to Christian disengagement and discusses the Church's role in addressing electoral irregularities and in political education. The IMF (2024) identifies anti-corruption and rule-of-law reforms as important to improving governance vulnerabilities. The World Bank's governance data and country reporting provide additional context for examining public-sector challenges. However, indicators should be interpreted in light of their methodology and should not be used as direct measures of an individual leader's character. The implications for the framework are twofold. First, Christian political leaders need personal courage and integrity to resist bribery, intimidation, vote buying, patronage, and the misuse of public resources. Second, they must strengthen institutions that reduce opportunities for abuse. This includes transparent procurement, credible audits, legislative oversight, independent investigation, accessible public information, and protection for lawful criticism. The leader who speaks against corruption but weakens oversight institutions contradicts the very principle being professed.

Constitutional Democracy and Religious Plurality

The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria provides the legal framework within which political office holders exercise authority. Sections 14(3) and 14(4), as reflected in the Federal Character Commission's explanation, require public institutions to recognise Nigeria's federal and social diversity and to promote national unity and a sense of belonging (Federal Character Commission, n.d.). This principle is relevant to Christian political leadership because public appointments and services must not be distributed solely based on religious, ethnic, or partisan loyalty. The precise constitutional obligations of each office holder must be read alongside the applicable constitutional provisions, statutes, and regulations.

Christian political leaders must therefore distinguish between prophetic witness and coercive religious power. Churches may speak on justice, poverty, violence, corruption, human rights, and public welfare. They may educate citizens and hold leaders accountable. However, the state must not become an instrument for enforcing one church's doctrine. The Nigerian Baptist Convention's stated commitment to religious freedom and the separation of church and state, together with its constitutional provision on partisan activity by paid officers and ministers, provides an important institutional context for clarifying roles (Nigerian Baptist Convention, n.d.; World Council of Churches, n.d.). For the Nigerian Baptist Convention, this means that members who hold political office should be equipped to serve with integrity and competence. At the same time, ministers and paid officers should observe the Convention's stated constitutional boundaries concerning partisan politics. The Convention's role may include theological education, civic formation, mentoring, public ethics, peacebuilding, and non-partisan accountability. Such activities can support public life without turning the church into a party structure or presenting political candidates as representatives of the faith.

Gender, Inclusion, and Representation

A framework for Christian political leadership must address the inclusion of women and other groups whose access to political power may be constrained by social expectations, financial barriers, party structures, insecurity, or discriminatory practices. Adesanya (2014) uses Deborah as a paradigm for Christian women's participation in Nigerian governance. The contribution is significant because it challenges the idea that political leadership is naturally or exclusively male. A broader framework should also consider youth participation, disability inclusion, minority communities, and the experiences of citizens who are often excluded from decision-making. Inclusion should not be reduced to symbolic appointments. It requires fair access to political processes, meaningful participation in deliberation, equitable public services, and leadership practices that take diverse experiences seriously. Relational competence, listening, negotiation, and intercultural sensitivity are therefore not optional soft skills; they are essential capacities in a plural federation. Akano's (2024) encounterological competence provides a useful point of connection between Christian mission, public engagement, and the ability to meet people across differences.

Theoretical Integration

Transformational Leadership: Vision and Public Renewal

Transformational leadership helps explain how political leaders can mobilise citizens and institutions around a shared public purpose. Burns (1978) presents leadership as a relationship in which leaders and followers may raise one another towards higher levels of motivation and morality. Bass (1985) develops this approach by identifying behaviours associated with inspiration, intellectual stimulation, individual consideration, and idealised influence. For Nigerian political office holders, these concepts can support policy reform, institution-building, and the mobilisation of public participation. Nevertheless, transformational leadership has limitations when used without ethical and constitutional controls. A leader may claim to possess

a historic mission while marginalising opposition, concentrating power, or dismissing institutional checks as obstacles to progress. The Christian framework, therefore, accepts a vision and change orientation but rejects the assumption that a leader's personal conviction is sufficient to legitimise public action. Transformational ambition must be disciplined by ethical character, public evidence, law, and democratic accountability.

Servant Leadership: Authority as Service

Servant leadership offers a normative account of why public authority should be exercised for the benefit of others. Greenleaf (1977) argues that the servant-leader's primary orientation is service, and later scholarship has clarified the concept's dimensions and empirical development (Eva et al., 2019; van Dierendonck, 2011). The model resonates with the Christian understanding of leadership in Mark 10:42–45, where greatness is associated with service rather than domination. In government, service must be translated into fair access to public goods, respect for citizens, responsive administration, and attention to people whose needs are easily ignored. It cannot be reduced to personal generosity or charitable gestures. A leader who distributes private gifts while failing to build sustainable public systems may appear benevolent but does not necessarily practise public stewardship. Servant leadership must therefore be linked to competent institutions and equitable policy.

Ethical Leadership: Moral Conduct and Institutional Standards

Ethical leadership provides a bridge between personal character and organisational practice. Brown and Treviño (2006) emphasise that ethical leader's model appropriate conduct and communicate, reinforce, and reward ethical behaviour. Hoch et al. (2018) show that ethical, authentic, and servant leadership make distinct conceptual contributions relative to transformational leadership. For political office holders, ethical leadership requires consistency between stated values and decisions, transparent use of public resources, fair treatment, avoidance of conflicts of interest, and willingness to be held accountable.

The strength of ethical leadership is its attention to observable standards. Its limitation is that ethical behaviour may be described at the level of the leader, leaving the broader political economy and institutional incentives underexamined. The present framework therefore combines ethical character with institutional safeguards. Leaders should be assessed not only by personal reputation but also by whether they strengthen systems that enable scrutiny and lawful correction.

Situational Leadership: Adaptation Without Moral Compromise

Situational and path-goal approaches explain why leaders must adapt their behaviour to the task, the people involved, and the obstacles that stand between citizens and public goals (Hersey et al., 2013; House, 1971). A political leader may need to consult widely when developing a policy, provide clear direction during an emergency, delegate technical work to experts, or negotiate among competing interests. No single style can adequately address every situation. Adaptability, however, should not become opportunism. A leader may change communication style, level of direction, or method of consultation, but should not abandon core commitments to legality, honesty, human dignity, and justice. In the proposed framework, situational flexibility operates within a stable ethical core. This distinction prevents the language of adaptability from becoming an excuse for inconsistency or political expediency.

Rationale for Theoretical Integration

The four perspectives are integrated because they answer different questions. Transformational leadership asks how public change can be inspired and mobilised. Servant leadership asks whose needs should guide the exercise of authority. Ethical leadership asks what standards

should govern conduct and institutional influence. Situational leadership asks how leadership behaviour should be adjusted to the demands of particular contexts. None alone fully addresses the theological, professional, moral, and institutional dimensions of Christian political office.
The integration is not an assertion that these theories are identical or that they were developed specifically for Christian politicians in Nigeria. They emerge from different intellectual traditions and carry different assumptions. Their use here is selective and critical. Christian theology supplies the normative orientation of stewardship and neighbour-love; constitutional democracy supplies the legal and civic boundaries; leadership theories supply explanatory resources for the exercise of influence and institutional change.

The Proposed Integrated Christian Political Leadership Framework

Domain One: Gifts, Vocation, and Public Calling

The first domain concerns the leader's sense of vocation and the gifts or capacities that may be brought to public service. It includes spiritual discernment, commitment to the common good, moral courage, wisdom, communication, empathy, and the willingness to accept responsibility. These qualities should be understood as resources for service, not evidence of divine endorsement of a candidate or party. The public test of vocation is whether it leads to responsible conduct and benefits citizens. Practical indicators may include a clear public-service motivation; willingness to serve beyond one's religious or ethnic constituency; evidence of listening and discernment; readiness to learn; and the ability to explain how personal convictions translate into fair public duties. Churches and theological institutions can support this domain through mentoring and civic education, but should avoid claiming exclusive authority to determine who is divinely called to political office.

Domain Two: Competencies for Effective Public Leadership

The second domain translates vocation into competent action. It includes constitutional and legal literacy; policy formulation and evaluation; public finance and budgeting; strategic planning; communication; negotiation; conflict management; team leadership; digital and data literacy; and the ability to work with professional institutions. Competencies are office-specific. A legislator requires skills in lawmaking, representation, scrutiny, and constituency engagement; an executive officer requires policy coordination, implementation, and management; a local-government leader requires close knowledge of community needs and service delivery. A Christian political leader should demonstrate intellectual humility by recognising where specialist advice is required. Competence also includes the capacity to recruit and retain capable personnel through fair and transparent processes. A leader who surrounds themselves only with loyal supporters undermines the quality of government and may create conditions for patronage. The competency domain therefore includes institutional leadership: developing capable teams, clarifying responsibilities, monitoring performance, and ensuring continuity beyond an individual's tenure.

Domain Three: Character as the Ethical Core

Character is the framework's ethical core because it governs how gifts, competencies, and styles are used. The central qualities are integrity, honesty, justice, humility, courage, fairness, self-control, accountability, and respect for human dignity. Character is demonstrated in difficult decisions: whether the leader resists improper pressure, discloses conflicts of interest, protects public funds, treats opponents fairly, and accepts lawful scrutiny. It is not adequately measured by religious language, church attendance, public prayer, or personal testimonials.

Character must be reinforced by institutions.
The framework therefore requires asset and interest disclosure where applicable, transparent procurement, independent audit, legislative oversight, accessible information, clear complaint mechanisms, and protection for lawful whistleblowing. These measures do not imply distrust of every office holder; they recognise that public accountability should not depend on personal virtue alone. Institutional checks protect citizens and leaders by making expectations visible and decisions reviewable.

Domain Four: Context-Responsive Leadership Styles
The fourth domain concerns the appropriate use of leadership styles. Transformational behaviour is needed when a leader must articulate reform and mobilise collective action. Servant leadership is needed to keep public needs at the centre. Ethical leadership provides standards and role modelling. Situational leadership enables adjustment to different tasks, levels of urgency, and stakeholder capacities. Democratic and participatory practices are especially important where decisions affect diverse communities or require public legitimacy.
The framework does not recommend a single ideal style for every circumstance. It recommends a repertoire of styles governed by character and constitutional duty. For example, an emergency may require timely direction, but emergency authority must remain lawful, proportionate, reviewable, and temporary. A complex social policy may require consultation and deliberation, but participation should not become an excuse for indefinite delay. Adaptive leadership is effective when it responds to context without surrendering ethical principles.

The Accountability and Public-Value Pathway
The four domains become politically meaningful through an accountability pathway. Gifts and vocation supply purpose; competencies enable sound decisions; character governs the use of authority; and leadership styles shape how people and institutions are mobilised. These are translated into public practices: lawful action, transparent resource allocation, inclusive consultation, evidence-informed policy, fair appointments, measurable implementation, and public reporting. The expected outcomes are public value, stronger institutional trust, improved service responsiveness, justice, human dignity, and social cohesion.
These outcomes are not guaranteed by the framework. They are proposed directions that require empirical assessment. Public value depends on resources, institutional capacity, policy design, economic conditions, security, and the actions of multiple actors. A single Christian office holder cannot control every outcome. The framework is therefore an account of leadership responsibilities and processes, not a promise that Christian identity will automatically solve Nigeria's governance problems.

Text-Based Model and Propositions
The proposed model may be represented as follows: (1) Gifts and vocation; (2) public leadership competencies; (3) character and ethical commitments; and (4) adaptive leadership styles. These four domains interact within constitutional-democratic safeguards and Nigeria's socio-political context. Their combined expression in accountable public practices is expected to contribute to public value and institutional trust. Character functions as a cross-cutting ethical condition, while context influences the competencies and styles required in each office.
The conceptual propositions are: (a) gifts and vocation contribute to public-service motivation when interpreted as responsibility rather than entitlement; (b) competencies translate motivation into effective policy and administrative action; (c) character constrains the use of power and strengthens the credibility of public processes; (d) adaptive styles improve responsiveness when they remain within ethical and legal boundaries; and (e) accountability mechanisms mediate the relationship between leadership intention and public outcomes. These

are propositions for future empirical testing, not statistical hypotheses established by this review.

Discussion

The central argument of this review is that Christian political leadership should not be reduced to the religious identity of the office holder. It is better understood as an integrated practice in which theological motivation, professional competence, ethical character, and context-sensitive leadership behaviour are translated into accountable public service. This position responds to the fragmentation identified in the literature. Nigerian studies of Christian participation explain why engagement may be discouraged and why political education and mentorship matter (Chioke, 2023; Ngwoke, 2025; Okoh & Majimre, 2024). Leadership theories explain important dimensions of influence, service, ethics, and adaptation. The proposed framework brings these insights into a coherent model for political office.

The first important implication is that vocation must be distinguished from entitlement. A Christian may believe that political service is a calling, but that belief cannot replace electoral legitimacy, constitutional qualification, or public accountability. Nor should churches declare that a particular candidate has divine approval. Such claims can undermine political pluralism and make it difficult for congregations to hold office holders accountable. A more responsible ecclesial approach is to form citizens in public ethics, encourage qualified participation, support non-partisan civic education, and evaluate political conduct against publicly defensible standards.

The second implication is that competence is a moral responsibility. Political decisions affect lives, livelihoods, security, education, health, and the distribution of public resources. A leader who lacks relevant knowledge but refuses advice may cause avoidable harm. The Christian commitment to stewardship, therefore, includes preparation, evidence-based judgement, respect for professional expertise, and a willingness to learn. This is where leadership theory and public administration complement theological reflection. The use of gifts without competence may produce sincere but ineffective leadership; competence without character may produce efficient exploitation.

Third, character must be assessed through conduct and institutional design. Brown and Treviño's (2006) account of ethical leadership is useful because it links moral example with communication and reinforcement. However, individual example is not enough in environments where political incentives reward patronage or opacity. The framework therefore requires systems of accountability. Olabode's (2025) emphasis on integrity is relevant. However, the present model argues that integrity should be visible not only in personal reputation but in transparent decisions and institutions that can withstand scrutiny.

Fourth, leadership styles should be integrated without creating conceptual confusion. Transformational leadership provides a vision and impetus for reform; servant leadership emphasises a service orientation; ethical leadership emphasises normative conduct; and situational leadership emphasises adaptation. Andersen (2018) cautions against simplistic comparisons between servant and transformational leadership. The present framework takes this caution seriously by assigning distinct functions to each perspective. Their integration is practical rather than theoretical fusion: each helps illuminate a different aspect of responsible public leadership.

Fifth, the Nigerian context is not a peripheral consideration. Religious diversity, federal arrangements, ethnic plurality, insecurity, party politics, and institutional constraints shape what responsible leadership requires. The federal character principle serves as a constitutional reminder that public institutions should reflect national diversity and promote a sense of belonging (Federal Character Commission, n.d.). Christian political leadership must therefore be inclusive and non-sectarian in public duty. It should not reproduce the exclusion it claims to

oppose. A Christian office holder must serve citizens without discrimination based on religion, ethnicity, gender, political affiliation, or social status.

The Nigerian Baptist Convention offers a specific institutional setting for applying these insights. Its constitutional provisions on partisan politics by paid officers and ministers require clarity of roles, while its broader public commitments can support theological education, leadership development, and civic responsibility. The Convention should not be treated as a political party or a mechanism for endorsing candidates. It can, however, contribute to public life through non-partisan formation, mentoring, research, peacebuilding, and principled engagement with public policy. Baptist political officeholders should be encouraged to understand the distinction between personal political participation and the church's official role.

The framework also raises a question about measurement. If it is to be used in leadership development or evaluation, each domain requires observable indicators. Gifts and vocation may be assessed through service motivation, discernment, and willingness to serve all citizens. Competencies may be assessed through relevant knowledge, decision quality, policy implementation, and institutional management. Character may be assessed through transparency, fairness, consistency, conflict-of-interest management, and accountability. Leadership styles may be assessed through the appropriateness of consultation, direction, delegation, responsiveness, and mobilisation. Public outcomes should be evaluated through independently verifiable indicators, while recognising that outcomes are influenced by factors beyond an individual leader.

A further caution concerns the temptation to equate Christian political leadership with a claim to moral superiority over non-Christian office holders. Such a claim is neither necessary nor defensible. The framework is designed to guide Christians who choose to serve in public office; it does not imply that non-Christians cannot be ethical, competent, or committed to the common good. In a plural democracy, the legitimacy of public leadership rests on constitutional authority and public accountability. Christian identity can motivate a distinctive form of service, but it does not create a separate standard of citizenship or a privileged claim to state power. Finally, the framework should be understood as a proposal for formation and institutional practice, not as a completed validation study. Its concepts require consultation with political office holders, public administrators, theologians, Christian ethicists, civil society actors, and citizens. Future research may use expert interviews, Delphi methods, case studies, or mixed-methods designs to examine whether the four domains are sufficiently distinct, whether the proposed indicators are measurable, and how the model operates across federal, state, and local-government settings.

Implications

Theoretical Implications

The paper contributes to Christian leadership scholarship by proposing an integrative framework that connects theological vocation with established leadership theories and the practical demands of public office. It clarifies that spiritual gifts, competencies, character, and styles are related but not interchangeable constructs. Character is positioned as an ethical core; competencies provide the capacity for action; gifts and vocation provide motivation and direction; and leadership styles shape context-sensitive influence. The framework also introduces accountability as the pathway through which leadership intentions are translated into public practice.

Practical Implications for Political Office Holders

Political office holders may use the framework as a guide for self-assessment, leadership development, and performance review. Before assuming office, prospective leaders should assess their motivation, relevant competence, ethical vulnerabilities, and readiness to work

across differences. During office, they should maintain transparent decision-making, seek expert advice, publish clear priorities, monitor implementation, and submit to lawful scrutiny. They should also distinguish between personal loyalty and public duty when making appointments and allocating resources.

Implications for the Nigerian Baptist Convention and Churches

Churches and theological institutions can contribute through non-partisan civic education, biblical teaching on public responsibility, leadership mentoring, ethics training, policy literacy, and peacebuilding. Such programmes should avoid partisan endorsement and should not present political success as proof of divine favour. For the Nigerian Baptist Convention, the constitutional boundaries governing partisan activity by ministers and paid officers should be communicated clearly. The Convention can encourage members in public office to act with integrity while preserving the church's capacity to speak prophetically and hold government accountable.

Policy and Institutional Implications

The framework supports institutional reforms that make ethical conduct more likely and misconduct more detectable. These include transparent procurement, accessible budget information, independent audits, effective legislative oversight, fair recruitment, conflict-of-interest procedures, and safe channels for reporting wrongdoing. Public leadership programmes should combine ethical formation with technical training in law, policy, public finance, data, and service delivery. The framework also supports inclusive decision-making and the constitutional responsibility to promote national unity and a sense of belonging.

Implications for Future Research

Future studies should examine the framework empirically across different levels and types of political office. Qualitative case studies could explore how Christian office holders interpret vocation and accountability. A Delphi study could seek expert consensus on the framework's domains and indicators. Survey research could test relationships among ethical leadership, competence, public trust, and perceived service quality, provided that valid measures and appropriate sampling are used. Comparative research could examine whether the framework is useful across Baptist, Pentecostal, Catholic, and other Christian traditions, while maintaining sensitivity to differences in church polity and theology

Limitations

This paper has several limitations:

First, it is an integrative conceptual review rather than a systematic review. The search strategy was purposive and theory-led, and the paper does not claim exhaustive coverage of all relevant publications.

Second, the review draws on sources with different methods, purposes, and levels of evidence. Foundational leadership books, theological texts, empirical studies, institutional documents, and recent conceptual articles cannot be treated as equivalent forms of evidence.

Third, the Nigerian contextual literature on Christian political participation is developing, and some studies focus on participation or political apathy rather than the performance of Christian office holders once elected.

Fourth, the proposed framework has not been empirically validated. Its domains and indicators are analytically reasoned but require testing with diverse stakeholders and across different public offices. Fifth, the framework may require adaptation to the distinct responsibilities of legislators, ministers, governors, local-government chairpersons, and elected representatives.

Sixth, the paper does not provide a detailed denominational comparison or a full theological account of every Christian tradition's approach to church-state relations. The Nigerian Baptist Convention is used as a relevant institutional context, not as a proxy for all Nigerian Christians. Finally, public outcomes are shaped by structural and external factors, including economic conditions, institutional capacity, party systems, security, and intergovernmental relations.
The framework should not be interpreted as suggesting that an individual leader's Christian character can overcome all structural constraints. Its value lies in clarifying responsibilities, identifying leadership capacities, and guiding institutional practice within those constraints.

10. Conclusion

This paper has argued that Christian political leadership in Nigeria requires an integrated framework that goes beyond religious identity, political participation, or personal claims of moral uprightness. Political office is a public trust. It demands a combination of moral purpose, professional competence, ethical character, and the capacity to lead appropriately within changing political and institutional conditions. Nigerian scholarship on Christian participation, corruption, violence, political apathy, and women's involvement in governance provides important contextual insights. At the same time, leadership theories offer complementary resources for understanding vision, service, ethical conduct, and adaptation.

The proposed framework integrates four domains: gifts and vocation, competencies, character, and leadership styles. Gifts and vocation orient the leader towards service; competencies enable responsible public action; character governs the use of authority; and adaptive styles shape how leaders work with citizens, institutions, and competing interests. These domains must be translated into accountable public practices under constitutional-democratic safeguards. The intended outcomes are public value, justice, human dignity, institutional trust, and social cohesion, although these outcomes remain subject to empirical investigation and wider structural conditions. For Christian political officeholders in Nigeria, the central test is not whether they can publicly demonstrate their religious identity, but whether their conduct reflects faithful stewardship, competent service, fairness, transparency, and accountability to all citizens. For the Nigerian Baptist Convention and other churches, the task is to form responsible citizens and leaders without becoming partisan instruments. The framework offers a basis for leadership education, mentoring, self-assessment, and further research. Its next scholarly step is empirical validation across diverse political offices and Nigerian contexts.

References

Adesanya, I. O. (2014). Deborah: A paradigm for Christian women's active participation in Nigerian governance. Feminist Theology, 22(3), 302–311. https://doi.org/10.1177/0966735014523204

Akano, B. I. (2024). Encounterological competence: An effective missiological tool for Christian participation in Nigerian politics. Practical Theology, 17(1), 82–94. https://doi.org/10.1080/1756073X.2023.2271740

Andersen, J. A. (2018). Servant leadership and transformational leadership: From comparisons to farewells. Leadership & Organisation Development Journal, 39(6), 762–774. https://doi.org/10.1108/LODJ-01-2018-0053

Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations. Free Press.

Bolman, L. G., & Deal, T. E. (2021). Reframing organisations: Artistry, choice, and leadership (7th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. The Leadership Quarterly, 17(6), 595–616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004

Burns, J. M. (1978). Leadership. Harper & Row.

Chioke, S. C. (2023). Christian participation in politics and democratic governance in Nigeria. Journal of Public Administration, Finance and Law, 28, 55–69. https://doi.org/10.47743/jopafl-2023-28-05

Eva, N., Robin, M., Sendjaya, S., van Dierendonck, D., & Liden, R. C. (2019). Servant leadership: A systematic review and call for future research. The Leadership Quarterly, 30(1), 111–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2018.07.004

Federal Character Commission. (n.d.). Federal character principle. https://fcc.gov.ng/aboutfcc/fcp/

Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness. Paulist Press.

Hersey, P., Blanchard, K. H., & Johnson, D. E. (2013). Management of organisational behaviour: Leading human resources (10th ed.). Pearson.

Hoch, J. E., Bommer, W. H., Dulebohn, J. H., & Wu, D. (2018). Do ethical, authentic, and servant leadership explain variance above and beyond transformational leadership? A meta-analysis. Journal of Management, 44(2), 501–529. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206316665461

House, R. J. (1971). A path-goal theory of leader effectiveness. Administrative Science Quarterly, 16(3), 321–339. https://doi.org/10.2307/2391905

International Monetary Fund. (2024). Nigeria: 2024 Article IV consultation—Press release; staff report; staff statement; and statement by the Executive Director for Nigeria (Country Report No. 24/102). https://www.imf.org/-/media/files/publications/cr/2024/english/1ngaea2024002.pdf

Lewin, K., Lippitt, R., & White, R. K. (1939). Patterns of aggressive behaviour in experimentally created "social climates." The Journal of Social Psychology, 10(2), 269–299. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1939.9713366

Ngwoke, P. N. (2025). The impact of corruption and violence on Christian participation in Nigerian politics. Theologia Viatorum, 49(1), Article a317. https://doi.org/10.4102/tv.v49i1.317

Nigerian Baptist Convention. (n.d.). Our constitution. https://nigerianbaptist.org/our-constitution/

Northouse, P. G. (2021). Leadership: Theory and practice (9th ed.). SAGE.

Okoh, B. U., & Majimre, S. J. (2024). A review of Christians' participation in Nigerian politics from 2011 to 2023. NIU Journal of Humanities, 9(2), 149–155. https://doi.org/10.58709/niujhu.v9i2.1912

Olabode, O. O. (2025). Integrity as a principle for guiding contemporary leadership reputation. Journal of Political Science and Leadership Research, 11(6), 49–57. https://doi.org/10.56201/jpslr.vol.11.no6.2025.pg49.57

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews: Guidelines and examples. Human Resource Development Review, 4(3), 356–367. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484305278283

van Dierendonck, D. (2011). Servant leadership: A review and synthesis. Journal of Management, 37(4), 1228–1261. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310380462

Weber, M. (1978). Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology (G. Roth & C. Wittich, Eds.). University of California Press.

Whittemore, R., & Knafl, K. (2005). The integrative review: Updated methodology. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 52(5), 546–553. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365- 2648.2005.03621.x

World Bank. (2026). Nigeria: Overview. https://www.worldbank.org/ext/en/country/nigeria

World Council of Churches. (n.d.). Nigerian Baptist Convention. https://oikoumene.org/member-churches/nigerian-baptist-convention